

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
09/836,711	04/17/2001	Shinya Watanabe	114G1-144	7093			
7590 02/03/2004			EXAMINER				
BRADLEY N. RUBEN, PC	EGAN, BRIAN P						
463 FIRST ST., SUITE 5A HOBOKEN, NJ 07030-1859		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
,			. 1772	[]			
	DATE MAILED: 0		DATE MAILED: 02/03/2004	/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	<u>_</u>				T	A9
		Application	on No.		Applicant(s)	
•			l1 		WATANABE ET	AL.
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	,		Art Unit	
		Brian P. E	gan		1772	Iddress
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu r Reply					auuress
THE N - Exten after s - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r earne Status	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUL isions of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this corperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty period for reply is specified above, the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three monthed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NICATION.  ons of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event memorication.  of (30) days, a reply within the state attaction of the statutory period will apply and will will, by statute, cause the apply and the statutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the application of the statutory will, by statute, cause the application of the statutory will be statuted as a statutory period will be statuted as a statute of the statute	ent, however tutory minimu vill expire SIX olication to be ommunication	r, may a reply be to turn of thirty (30) da ( (6) MONTHS from ecome ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered tin in the mailing date of this ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nely. 3 communication.
	Responsive to communication(s) f					
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action is n	on-final.			
3)	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pra	on for allowance except ctice under <i>Ex parte Q</i> i	t for form uayle, 19	al matters, p 35 C.D. 11,	rosecution as to t 453 O.G. 213.	tne merits is
-	ion of Claims					
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-18</u> is/are pen	nding in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is	s/are withdrawn from co	onsiderat	ion.	•	
5)[	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-18</u> is/are reje					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to	l. Animhina an Alan at a'	require-	ient		
8)	Claim(s) are subject to res	surction and/or election	requirem	.c. it.		
	tion Papers					
9)	The specification is objected to by	the Examiner.	,		, C	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	are: a)  accepted or b	obje 🗀 obje	cted to by th	e ⊏xaminer.	`
	Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s)	be held it	n abeyance. 🤄	See 37 CFR 1.85(a	7. 7.CÉR 1.121(d)
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) include	ding the correction is requ	inred it the	urawing(s) is	objected to: See 3: ce Action or form	PTO-152
	The oath or declaration is objecte	ed to by the Examiner. N	vote the :	anacheu OM	JU A TOLIO IT OF TOLIII	
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			11000	)(a) (d) a= (f)	
12)🛛	Acknowledgment is made of a cl	aim for foreign priority t	under 35	U.S.C. § 119	7(a)-(u) or (t).	
a	) All b) Some * c) None o	ot: vity documents have be	en recei	ved.		
	2 Contified copies of the prior	rity documents have be	een recei	ved in Applic	ation No	nal Ctarr
1	3 Copies of the certified cop	ies of the priority docur	ments na	ve been rece	eived in this Natio	mai otage
	application from the Intern	lational Bureau (PCT R	ule 17.2( chified co	a)). pies not rece	ived.	
400	a classicate and a mode of a clai	im for domestic priority.	under 35	3 U.S.C. 9 11	9(e) (to a provisi	onal application)
	since a specific reference was incl	uded in the first senten	ce of the	specification	or in an Applica	uon Data Sneet.
ļ	37 CFR 1.78.  a) ☐ The translation of the foreign	n language provisional	application	on has been	received.	
I 400	Acknowledgment is made of a cla reference was included in the first	im for domestic priority	under 35	5 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121 SI	nce a specific 37 CFR 1.78.
Attachme						
1) NO No	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)		4)	Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413) Pape	r No(s) (PTO-152)
2)· 🗌 No	itice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review	ew (PTO-948)		Notice of Inform Other:	nal Patent Application	, (F 1 <b>0-1</b> 92)
3) [] Info	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14-	49) Faper NO(S)	U			

Art Unit: 1772

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Interpretation

1. The Examiner disagrees with the Applicant's contention that a "thin film" is to be defined as a film that is essentially monomolecular in thickness (paper no. 8, pg. 5). Albeit limited in thickness, a "thin film" as known in the art does not require that it be monomolecular in thickness.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/19792 (hereinafter WO '792) in view of Iwasaki et al. (#5,439,754) and Livshits et al. (#5,561,265).

WO '792 discloses a high current suppression shield having a sheet shape (Fig. 8) and comprising an adhesive layer (Fig. 8, #17) on at least one surface of a magnetic thin film. The magnetic thin film is provided on one surface of a film or sheet form substrate composed of a synthetic resin (Fig. 8, #15; see also Translation p. 2, paragraph [0016]). The adhesive layer is provided on one surface of the magnetic thin film with the substrate interposed therebetween (see Fig. 8). The magnetic thin film is provided on one surface of a film or sheet form substrate so that the magnetic thin film can be peeled away from the substrate (Fig. 8, #13).

Art Unit: 1772

WO '792 further teaches functionally equivalent magnetic compositions that may be used including iron, iron oxide, nickel, cobalt, ferrosilicon, permalloy, ferrite, sendust, amorphous alloy, and carbon (Translation p. 2, paragraph [0016]) but fails to teach the specific M-X-Y composition as claimed by the Applicant.

Iwasaki et al., however, teach a sputtered magnetic thin film with a thickness between .3 and 4 microns (Col. 10, lines 54-55) comprising an M-X-Y composition ("MM'N composition" – Col. 2, lines 45-46) wherein M is selected from Co and Fe, X is selected from B, Al, Si, Ga, Ge, Ti, Zr, Hf, Nb, Ta, Mo, and W, and Y is N. Iwasaki et al. teach the aforementioned composition for the purpose of providing a magnetic thin film exhibiting a minimal reduction in the saturation magnetic flux density (Col. 5, lines 49-51) while also exhibiting a high Bs, low Hc, and low λs (Col. 2, lines 3-5). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have combined the teachings of WO '792 and Iwasaki et al. since each of the aforementioned references are analogous insofar as being directed at improving magnetic thin films.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified WO '792 by replacing the magnetic thin film with the M-X-Y composition in Iwasaki et al. in order to providing a magnetic thin film exhibiting a minimal reduction in the saturation magnetic flux density while also exhibiting a high Bs, low Hc, and low λs.

Both WO '792 and Iwasaki et al. are silent as to whether the magnetic compositions may be in the form of the M component existing in granular form and dispersed in an X-Y matrix. It is notoriously well known in the art, however, to form magnetic film layers either by dispersing

Art Unit: 1772

ferromagnetic material within a matrix of an organic resin or of a dielectric material as evidenced by Livshits et al. (Col. 3, lines 8-20). Therefore, depending on the desired end product, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified WO '792 and Iwasaki et al. to include a sputtered magnetic thin film wherein the M component exists in granular form and is dispersed in an X-Y matrix.

4. Claims 1-9, 11-14, and 16-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/19792 (hereinafter WO '792) in view of Yoshida et al. (#5,827,445) and Livshits et al. (#5,561,265).

WO '792 discloses a high current suppression shield having a sheet shape (Fig. 8) and comprising an adhesive layer (Fig. 8, #17) on at least one surface of a magnetic thin film. The magnetic thin film is provided on one surface of a film or sheet form substrate composed of a synthetic resin (Fig. 8, #15; see also Translation p. 2, paragraph [0016]). The adhesive layer is provided on one surface of the magnetic thin film with the substrate interposed therebetween (see Fig. 8). The magnetic thin film is provided on one surface of a film or sheet form substrate so that the magnetic thin film can be peeled away from the substrate (Fig. 8, #13).

WO '792 further teaches functionally equivalent magnetic compositions that may be used including iron, iron oxide, nickel, cobalt, ferrosilicon, permalloy, ferrite, sendust, amorphous alloy, and carbon (Translation p. 2, paragraph [0016]) but fails to teach the specific M-X-Y composition as claimed by the Applicant.

Yoshida et al., however, teach a composite magnetic article for electromagnetic interference suppression wherein the magnetic loss material is in granular form (Col. 4, lines 3-4) and is selected from the group consisting of Sendust, Permalloy, amorphous alloys, and other

Art Unit: 1772

metallic soft magnetic materials (which would include any of the functionally equivalent materials taught by WO '792) (Col. 3, line 66 to Col. 4, line 2). The magnetic loss material is dispersed in a dielectric layer selected from the group consisting of AlO<sub>X</sub> and SiO<sub>X</sub> (Col. 4, lines 59-67). The magnetic loss material has a large magnetic loss and has a high imaginary part permeability over a wide high frequency range and variable or adjustable magnetic resonance frequencies within a broadened frequency range (Col. 1, lines 36-41; Col. 2, lines 42-47). Yoshida et al. further teach that varying annealing treatments are used to modify the magnetic resonance frequency (Col. 4, lines 38-41). The magnetic resonance frequency exceeds 10 MHz (see Table 1 (Col. 8)). The magnetic article is formed into any desired shape using known mixing and shaping apparatuses (Col. 5, lines 19-23) and the granular magnetic powder has an average thickness less than the thickness of the skin layer (see Abstract). The Examiner agrees with the Applicant's contentions that the magnetic properties of a material are affected by more than just the compositional chemistry of the magnetic material. Yoshida et al. teach more than just an equivalence in the compositional chemistry, however – Yoshida et al. teach that the size of the magnetic material is modified such that it is thinner than the skin layer as noted above – a characteristic detailed by the Applicant in their remarks as being applicable to a material's magnetic properties. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified the size of the granular magnetic material (using any known mixing and shaping apparatus as noted above), to have modified the granular material with any functionally equivalent magnetic material as detailed above, and/or to select an annealing treatment depending on the desired end magnetic resonance frequency such that the magnetic material falls within the Applicant's claimed ranges of the magnetic loss factor,

Art Unit: 1772

saturation magnetization, magnetic material thickness, DC electric resistivity, mean particle diameter, and anistropic magnetic field, since it has been held both that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art, *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980), and a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CCPA 1955). Yoshida et al. teach the use of the aforementioned magnetic loss material for the purpose of providing a magnetic article with an improved complex permeability at a high frequency band adaptable for an electromagnetic interference suppressor. Thus, it would have been obvious through routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art to have used a granular magnetic material in a magnetic thin film for the purpose of providing a magnetic article with an improved complex permeability at a high frequency band adaptable for an electromagnetic interference suppressor as taught by Yoshida et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified WO '792 by using a granular magnetic material as taught by Yoshida et al. in order to provide a magnetic article with an improved complex permeability at a high frequency band adaptable for an electromagnetic interference suppressor.

The Examiner agrees with the Applicant's contention that neither WO '792 or Yoshida et al. teach the M-X-Y composition comprising M in granular form dispersed in an X-Y matrix. Rather, Yoshida et al. teach a granular form of M coated with X-Y and then dispersed in a polymeric resin matrix. It is notoriously well known in the art, however, that coating a ferromagnetic component with an X-Y composition and dispersing it in a polymeric matrix is

Art Unit: 1772

functionally equivalent to dispersing a ferromagnetic material into a matrix of dielectric material (which X-Y is in this case) as evidenced by Livshits et al. (Col. 3, lines 8-20). Therefore, depending on the desired end product, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have provided the M-X-Y composition of Yoshida et al. in a functionally equivalent form as detailed in Livshits et al. by providing a granular M component in an X-Y matrix.

5. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO '792 in view of Yoshida et al. ('445) and Livshits et al. ('265), and further in view of Iwasaki et al. ('754).

WO '792, Yoshida et al., and Livshits et al. teach a high-frequency current suppression body as detailed above. The aforementioned prior art fails, however, to teach a sputtered or vacuum deposited thin film layer. Iwasaki et al., however, teach that it is notoriously well known in the art to form a magnetic material layer by sputtering (Col. 1, lines 35-40). Sputtering is used for the purpose of improving the magnetic properties exhibited by the film (Col. 2, lines 3-5). Thus, it would have been obvious through routine experimentation to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified the formation process of a magnetic thin film such that it is applied via a sputtering technique for the purpose of providing a magnetic thin film exhibiting improved magnetic properties as taught by Iwasaki et al.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time Applicant's invention was made to have modified that aforementioned prior art by applying the thin film via a sputtering technique as taught by Iwasaki et al. in order to provide a an improved magnetic thin film.

Art Unit: 1772

The Examiner notes the Applicant's question as to how a sputtering technique can be applied in combination with the polymeric binder of Yoshida et al. Given that dispersing a ferromagnetic material in a polymeric binder is functionally equivalent to dispersing the ferromagnetic material in a dielectric matrix, it would have been obvious to modify the magnetic layer of Yoshida et al. by dispersing the ferromagnetic material in a dielectric matrix via a sputtering technique.

### Response to Remarks

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-9 and 11-18 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian P. Egan whose telephone number is 703-305-3144. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8:30-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 703-308-4251. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

BAR 1 28/04

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

1/29/04